

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/589,621	Applicant(s) BIYABANI, SARA RUHINA	
	Examiner Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Eric Replogle.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
23-26

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Responding to Amendment of 10/17/08

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed modification to claim 23's amended language of "...wherein is said means..." (see lines 2-3) in order to properly recite the phrase as, "...wherein said means..." via an Examiner's Amendment since the claims, otherwise, were in condition for allowance. Applicant's Representative agreed to such a change..